

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/757,156	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DEWITT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> J. Derek Rutten	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable

 (1) J. Derek Rutten.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Gerald Glanzman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2007
**Time:** 11 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: Suggested claim amendments.
**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101, 102

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Rutten indicated that patentable subject matter had been identified in a related case, an additional search had been performed, and that inclusion of this subject matter in independent claims 1, 7, and 12 would overcome the rejections under 102(b). Mr. Rutten also indicated that if claim 12 were amended to include a "recordable computer readable medium," then the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 would be overcome. Mr. Glanzman agreed to discuss the proposed amendments with the Applicants and return the call. On 6/19/07, Mr. Glanzman indicated that the Applicants had agreed to the amendment, and a final draft of the claims was agreed upon.